DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 038 973 JC 700 130

AUTHOR Gold, Ben K.

TITLE An Investigation into Peasons Why Students Fail to

Register after Taking the Guidance Examination.

INSTITUTION Los Angeles City Coll., Calif.

PEPORT NO LACC-PS-70-4

PUB DATE May 70 NOTE 15p.

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF-\$0.25 HC Not Available from EDRS.

DESCRIPTORS *Enrollment Influences, Institutional Research

*Junior Colleges, Questionnaires, *Statistical Surveys, *Student Enrollment, Student Testing

IDENTIFIERS *California

ABSTRACT

This study examines the reasons some Los Angeles City College (LACC), California, applicants did not complete registration after taking the college's Guidance Examination (used for course placement and counseling). Of 2,320 applicants, 489 failed to register for the spring 1970 semester after taking the examination; of these, 239 returned questionnaires that sought reasons for failing to register. Data received were analyzed from several aspects, including sex and ethnic distribution, recommended course placement, previous college experience, and difficulties with registration procedures. Analysis of data led to the conclusion that financial problems, acceptance by another school, procedural problems in registration, and temporary deferment of entrance were the most important reasons for not completing registration. The Guidance Examination, recently instituted, was found to have no significant effect by itself in discouraging registrants. [Not available in hard copy due to marginal legibility of original document. 1 (JO)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

LOS ANGELES CITY COLLEGE

"AN INVESTIGATION INTO REASONS WHY STUDENTS FAIL TO REGISTER AFTER TAKING THE GUIDANCE EXAMINATION"

Research Study #70-4

Ben K. Gold Research Office May, 1970

UNIVERSITY OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES

MAY 22 1970

CLEARINGHOUSE FOR JUNIOR COLLEGE INFORMATION



"AN INVESTIGATION INTO REASONS WHY STUDENTS FAIL TO REGISTER AFTER TAKING THE GUIDANCE EXAMINATION"

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

Entering day students at L.A.C.C. are required to take the College Guidance Examination before being permitted to register. The examination requires no appointment, and no application or other form need be shown for admittance to the examination. Although students are informed at the time of the examination that they must file an application and transcript of record with the Admissions Office before they will receive a registration appointment, large numbers of students who take the examination do not complete registration procedures. Reasons for this inaction have been postulated by various members of the L.A.C.C. community. The purpose of this study is to find out from the students involved why they did not complete their L.A.C.C. registration.

PROCEDURE OF THE STUDY

Names of the students who took the Guidance Examination for the Spring, 1970 semester were checked against the list of students registered for that semester. A questionnaire was prepared and, together with a covering letter* and stamped return envelope, mailed to all those who took the Guidance Examination but whose name was not on the registration list. Returned questionnaires were analyzed as indicated in the next section.

FINDINGS

2,320 students took the Guidance Examination for the Spring, 1970 semester. After a search of the registration list, 489 sames were identified as having taken the examination but not registering. Questionnaires were

* copy appended to this report



FINDINGS (continued)

mailed to these 489 students. After 3 weeks, 46 had been returned as non-deliverable and 239 completed questionnaires were received in the Research Office. Returns, then, constituted 54% of those (presumably) delivered.

Although students' names were not indicated on the questionnaires, response envelopes were coded so that identification could be made for the purpose of determining scores on the examination. A few respondents removed the code identification, others failed to complete questions on the instrument designed to identify their age, sex or ethnic background. 227 students could be identified as to sex, age, ethnic background and test scores.

Tables 1-4 summarize numbers of responses according to characteristics of respondents. Table I indicates sex and ethnic distribution. Table 2 shows numbers of responses by ethnic background, sex, and English recommendation.

Table 3 indicates ethnic distribution (in percent, "other" category ommitted), and presents for comparison a head count estimate made at the time of the Guidance examination and an overall L.A.C.C. estimate obtained during the Spring, 1970 registration period. Table 4 indicates responses to questions relating to age, previous college, filing application forms, and appearance for scheduled appointments, according to sex and ethnic background. Tables 5-8 summarize stated reasons for not completing registration, subgrouped as indicated.



Page 3.

TABLE ? - Sex and Ethnic Distribution of Respondents

Ethnic Dista ATT LACC Head count Respon-Ethnic (1970 Estimate at Exams Tota! dents Background Male Female 27% 78 (100%) 47 (60%) 31 (40%) 35% 30% Black 41 (43%) 43% 43% 54 (57%) 95 (100%) Caucasian 57% 55% 59% Mexican-12% 14% 31 (100%) 18 (58%) 13 (42%) American 18% 8% 10 (59%) 17 (100%) 11% 7 (41%) Oriental 6 4 Other 2 (100%) 227 (100%) (100%) (100%) 112 (49%) 115 (51%) TOTAL ATT **Entrants** (100%) 44% (N=2320)56% AII (100%) 57% "No-shows" 48% (N-489)



TABLE 2 - Number of Completed Questionnaires Returned by Ethnic Back-ground, Sex and English Recommendation

_					Continues of the last of the last one				_	
	Sex	English Programme	l Englisi	h 21	English	40	Comm. 2	0	Tota	
	М	5	5		1 11		10		31	
lack	F	8] 11		15		13		47	
	T	13 (17	%) 16	(21%)	26	(33%)	23	(30%)	78	(100%)
•	M.	33	13		8		2		54	
Caucasian	F	34	5		1		3		41	
	T	67 (71	%) 16	(17%)	9	(9%)	3	(3%)	95	(100%)
	М	9	5		2		2		18	
lexican-	F	5	4		1	:	3		13	
merican	T	14 (45) 9	(29%)	3	(10%)	5	(16%)	31	(100%)
	М	4	0		2	-	7		7	
Priental	F	2	3		4		1		10	
	T	6 (35)	<u>%) 3</u>	(18%)	6	(35%)	2	(12%)	17	(100%)
•	M	Ī	0		0		1		2	
ther	F	1	į 0		2		7		4	
	T	2	0		2		2		6	
	M	52 (46)		(19%)	- 23	(21%)	16	(14%)	112	(100%)
TOTAL	F	50 (43		(20%)	23	(20%)	19	(17%)	115	(100%)
	T	102 (45)	%) <u>44</u>	(19%)	46	(20%)	35	(15%)	227	(100%)
inglish Dist for all entr			,							
(N=2320)		45%		19%		20%		16%	1	100%
inglish Dist			•	01				. 604		
(N=489)		38	%	19%		27%		16%	1	100%

<u>TABLE 3</u> - Ethnic Distribution (In %) by Sex and English Recommendations ("Other" category excluded

	A		·				
	1		% !	%	% Mexican-	2/	%
	Sex	No.	Black	Caucasian	American	Oriental	Total
	M	51	10	64	. 18	8	100
English I	F	49	16	70	10	4	100
	T	100	13	67	jķ	6	100
	M	21	24	52	24	G	100
English 21	F	23	48	22	17	13	100
	Ţ	44	36	36	20	7	100
•	-M	23	48	3/4	9	9	100
English 40	F	21	71	5	5	19	100
	7	44	59	20	7_	14	100
	M	15	67	13	13	7	100
Communica-	F	18	71	17	6	6	100
tions 20	T	33	70	9	15	6	100
	M	1,10	28	49	₹7	6	100
TOTAL	F	111	42	37	12	9	100
	T	221	35	<u>43</u>	14	8	100

TABLE 4 - Summary of Respondent Characteristics

				Have			you		ow appear
				ever a	ttended	file	appli-	for	
Ethnic		A	GE		leye?	•	forms?	_	stion appt.?
Backgrow d	Sex	Under 2" I	21 or over	Y:55	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
	М	16	15	10	21	2.5	2	8	15
lack	F	33	14	14	30	35	10	8	27
	7	49	29	24	51	60	12	16	42
البادية بالشفاية في المارية بالمارية والمارية	M	30	24	27	27	41	11	7	27
aucas i an	1.	29	12	18	23	20	18	4	19 46
	T	59	36	45	50	61	29	11	46
	M	15	3	l ₄	14	10	7	5	7
Mexican-	F	12	1	7	12	10	3	2	7
merican i	Т	27	l,	5	26	20	TC	7	14
	M	7	0	1	6	7	ð	3	4
Driental .	F	· 8	2	3	7	7	3	3	7
	T	15	2	4	13	1 14	3	6	11
	M	2	ō	1	1	1	0	0	1
Other	F	3	1	0	i k	4	0	6	4
	T	5	*] 1	5	5	0	0	5
	M	70	42	43	69	84	20	22	55 64
TOTAL	F	85	30	36	77	76	34	17	64
	T	155	72	79	146	160	54	39	119

TABLE 5 - Stated Reasons for not Completing LACC Registration. (in rank order, all respondents) (N=239)

		Number	CI	necking		
Reason	# Reason	Checkin	9	(N-239)		Rank
7 .	i just couldn't afford to go to college right now	41	007 00 0	17.2	000000000	1
· 2·	was admitted to another college and pre- ferred to go there			_		
6	f obtained a full-time job				0000000	_
9	Transportation problems	_			> 0 0 + • • • •	4
5	Illness or personal emergency	17	302030	7.1	a * • • • • • • • •	4
1	t did not file the necessary application forms on time	o. 16	000000	6.7	00000000	6
8	Too many classes were closed when I tried to enroll	15	, 333393	6.3	* 0 • 0 • • 0 • •	7
1:	I moved out of the area	9	000000	₹,8	******	8
3	I decided to attend Adult High School	5	000000	2.1	0 • 0 0 • 0 0 0 0	9
4	i was discouraged by the Guidance Examination				0000000	9
10	tentered (or am about to enter) the Armed Services	£ 143		2.1 59.8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9
	*Other see Table 6 for analysis of "other" reason	15				

TABLE 6 - "Other" (write-in) Reasons for not Completing L.A.C.C. Registration

REASON	No.	% (N=239)
Registration Problems Plan to attend later - took exam. early* Attend Hollywood Presbyterian Hospital Nursing School Denied admission (prior college record) Attending occupational training school Cenflict with work schedule Desired classes not offered High School Honors - schedule cenflict Heard second year LACC not transferable	41 40 22 6 5 4 2	17.2 16.7 9.2 2.5 2.1 1.7 0.8 0.4 0.4
	122	51.0

Others (21) made comments either reinforcing other checked item or irrelevant (e.g., comments regarding questionnaire)

* 22 still in high school

TABLE 6A - Breakdown of "Write-in" Registration Problems

Transcripts not filed	16
Student's fault	
LACC's fault 8	
Appointment card	11
Came too late	•• ••
Did not receive	
Confusion about procedures	8
Non-resident problems	. 6
	-

TABLE Z - Stated Reasons, According to Ethnic Beckground and Sex

																Pa	nge 7.
	Total	3	%	7	16	8	~	7	0	17	9	7	8	7	9	7.	=
	7	N-2	₩.	91	39	1 0	2	17	25	7	15	17		6	143	337	1.4
	20	35	%	-	71	0	6	9	17	29	m	9	9	0	54		_
e	5	5 .	% N O°	77	~	0	~	~	9	0		~	8	0	19	55	1.57
glish	3	, —	ቖ	77	13	~	0	7	15	56	6	2	~	^	52		
ed Eng		 	Ş.	2	9	-	0	~	7	2	4	7	_	m	77	2	1.52
mend	2	0	88	14	8	8	2	^		91	~	~	0	7	64		en.
Rocommend	200		Ş.	6	∞	-	Ż	~	m	7	~	7	0	•	28	63	1.43
	-	50	36	2	∞	~	0	&	6 0	0	5	9		8	99	33	2
	F	ł	Š	7	65	~	0	∞	•••	9	5	•		w	67	-	9
	210	-	36	9	16	7	2	9	12	25	4	10	0	4	19	17	64.
EX	Fema	D 2:	Š	1	∞_	8	7	7	1 <u>4</u>	62	١٨	12	0	ī	20		-
SE	Mala	112		9	17	m	M	6	0	6	9	4	4	4	09	160	æ
			Z	_	5	<u></u>	~	2	2	2		<u> </u>	4	4	67	-	1.43
	Other	19	₹.	l	17	0	0	0	17	0	0	33	0	0	20		7
6	L	-	2	 								~~~				,	
ROORS	- 110	. <u> </u>	3 6	9	47	9	0	0	0	9	0	9	0	9	41	20	5 2.
BACKGROUND	حَ	5 z	£	-	∞		0	0		,,,	0	_			7		5.
	٤	35	%	13	23	0	~	0	2	13	9	m	m	m	35		
ETHNIC	Mevedan	Z Z	€	77	7	0	-	•	4	4	2		-	_	ganes ganes	38	1.23
	-	ر م م	88	2	r.	M)	0	4	6	12	84	80	0	^	74		
	ie	\$6 - 8	န	2	14	m	0	4	6		8	ęv.	0	^	70	127	1.34
	25	#CK #76	28	6	9	gare	ν.	13	12	31	Name Mapes	۲v	্ব	0	26		10
	210	. z	1	7	7	مسو	4	10	9	54	∞	-7	m	0	***	121	1.55
-	i =	T.T.	 	-				-									£02
			202	ote		ligh	Discouraged by Exam.	or	ae Be	.	40.000	Transporta- tion	es Se				Average number check per person
			Reason	Forms late	Another College	Adult High School	iscourag by Exam.	illnes or Emergency	Sas 1-time Job	Ceuldn't Afford	Classes Closed	ranspo	Armed Services	Moved	Other	of checks	ge nu k pei
					•						Q		~	<u> </u>	011	Tal number	chec
			*		5	m	্ব	2	. D	. Same	x	9	Ö	gazah galan		-	≪



. Hex-Am. Ort'l. Hele Female Engl. I Engl. 21 Engl. 40 Com. 20 All	8 2 5 9 3	2 2 1	7 2 10 9 8 9	10 7 9 9	7 - 5 - 9 - 4 - 9 2 - 9 2 - 9 2 - 9 - 9 -	2 2 3	2 2 2	8 7 8	5 7 2 7	10 11 7 7 9	2 2 4	31 17 115 102 44 45 35 239
V1 0.10	2											31 17
Keason Black Cau		Another college 5	Adult High 10 7	Discouraged 7 10	illness or	Full-time 3	Couldn't afford 1	Classes 4 closed 4	Transporta- 7 5	Armed 5 30	Moved 11 4	78 85
*]	ERIC TO BEEL PROVIDED TO SERVE	~	m	4	ur.	9	7	∞	Ø	0	=	2

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Some 20-25% of the students who take the L.A.C.C. Guidance Examination fail to complete registration for the semester following the taking of the examination. This study reports on a questionnaire survey mailed to such students (489 of 2320, or 21%) for the Spring, 1970 semester to find out why they failed to complete registration. About 54% of those who (presumably) received questionnaires completed and returned them.

49% of the respondents were maie, as compared with 52% of the 489 "no-shows" and 56% of the 2,320 taking the examination. Ethnic distribution of the respondents paralleled fairly closely that observed at the examination administrations, except that the percent Black was a little high (35% to 30%) and the percent Oriental a little low (8% to 11%). Distribution of English recommendations (according to examination score) of respondents followed almost exactly that of all examinees, viz., English 1: 45%, English 21: 49%, English 40: 20%, Communications 20: 16%. Breakdowns by sex and ethnic background show wide differences (as expected) from these overail figures, however. For example, 71% of Caucasians and 17% of Blacks qualified for English 1; 3% of Caucasians and 30% of Blacks qualified for Communications 20. The overall figures, nevertheless, indicate that the respondents are a cross-sectional representation of all those taking the examination, as far as performance on the examination and ethnic distribution are concerned. Regarding age, 68% of respondents are under 21 years, compared to 64% of all those examined. 35% stated they had attended college prior to taking the examination, compared with 25% of all those examined, a statistically significant difference. Three fourths of the respondents claimed to have filed application forms, and about one in five said he appeared for a registration appointment.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS (continued)

Of the eleven reasons for not completing registration that were suggested on the questionnaire, "I just couldn't afford to go to college rig . W' was checked most often (17%), followed closely by "I was admitted to another college and preferred to go there" (16%). Other responses checked by 5% or more respondents were "! obtained a full-time job" (10%), "Transportation problems" (7%), "Illness or personal emergency" (7%), "I did not file the necessary application forms on time" (7%), and "Too many classes were closed when I tried to enroll" (6%). "I moved out of the area" was checked by 4%, while 2% each checked "I decided to attend Adult High School," "I was discouraged by the Guidance Examination," and "I entered (or am about to enter) the Armed Services." Apparently the eleven reasons suggested were not enough, as 60% of the respondents checked the "other" category and offered a "write-in" reason. Two reasons were each mentioned by 17% of the respondents: problems with registration, and plans to attend at a later date. Registration problems included transcripts not filed (blame for this was accepted by about half those so indicated, the College blamed for the remainder), appointment card arriving too late or not at all, confusion about procedures, and non-resident problems. Of those planning to attend later, over half were still in high school at the time of the questionnaire mailing. Almost one tenth of the respondents said they were Nursing students at Hollywood Presbyterian Hospital. A variety of other reasons were offered, none by over 3% of the total responding,

A comparison of reasons stated by males and females shows little difference, although females checked "transportation problems" more than males, and all "Armed Forces" responses were by males. Comparing responses by ethnic DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS (continued)

backgrounds reveals that almost half of the Orientals checked "another college," Black students checked "couldn't afford" more often and "another college" less often than other groups.

One of the reasons for undertaking this study was to investigate assertions made in the college Human Concerns Committee that the Guidance Examination discourages students from attending college. In order to check this carefully, responses of the 5 students who checked "I was discouraged by the Guidance Examination" were examined individually. Following is a description of these five respondents:

- (1) Black male, age over 21, recommended for English 21, also checked "other," explained he was denied admission due to poor record at another college.
- (2) Black male, age 18, recommended for Communications 20, stated "I decided to wait until the Fall, 1970 semester."
- (3) Black female, over 40, recommended for English 21. Also checked "Illness or personal emergency" and wrote "I would prefer a 4 year college and a Sociology major, but lack sufficient funds."
- (4) Black female, 21, recommended for Communications 20.
 Also checked "I obtained a full-time Job" and "I just couldn't afford to go to college right now."
- (5) Mexican-American male, age 18, recommended for Communications 20. Also checked "I did not file the necessary application forms on time" and "I just couldn't afford to go to college right now" and wrote "I consider getting in the Armed Forces."

Twenty-nine of the respondents raised questions relating to procedures necessary for completing their registration at a later semester. All twenty-nine have been sent replies from the Research Office.

There are apparently a variety of reasons why students take the Guidance Examination and fail to register. Most prominent among these are financial problems, being prepared to enter L.A.C.C. in case another preferred college is unavailable, difficulties in registration procedures, and early taking of the examination to assure later admission. There is no evidence to support the assertion that the Guidance Examination itself is a deterrent to students attending L.A.C.C.





LOS ANGELES CITY COLLEGE 855 North Vermont Avenue Los Angeles, California 90029

Dear Student:

We note that you took the Los Angeles City College Guidance Examination for the Spring, 1970 semester but that you did not complete registration as a student at Los Angeles City College.

Frankly, we are wondering why a student gives up several hours of time to take an examination and then fails to attend the college.

Will you help us in our future planning by returning the brief questionnaire in the stamped addressed envelope, both enclosed?

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely.

Ben K. Gold

Director of Research

Bank Hold

BKG/e Enclosures

P.S. Please respond <u>promptly</u> and <u>honestly</u>.
You need not identify yourself on the questionnaire.



L.A.C.C. GUIDANCE EXAM SURVEY, SPRING, 1970

No No A.C.C. ot one)? neck as many ime go there
NoA.C.C. pot one)? neck as many me go there
A.C.C. pot one)? neck as many ime go there
A.C.C. pot one)? neck as many ime go there
pot one)? neck as many ime go there
pot one)? Leck as many Time go there
neck as many ime go there
ime go there
go there
1
1
1
l
l
5

Please return this form to:

Research Office Los Angeles City College 855 N. Vermont Avenue Los Angeles 90029

